

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,898	04/27/2001	Erlend Olson	41705/SAH/B600	1523	
23363 7590 08/30/2005			EXAMINER		
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068			SON, LINH L D		
	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2135		
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/30/200	DATE MAILED: 08/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/844,898	OLSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Linh LD Son	2135	

•		7.1.0					
	Linh LD Son	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS	house entire so show dots of filling a bring	ما المستقدم منا فمند الثناد					
3. A The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).	morrabio ii dabriintoa iir a doparato,	unory mod amondm	one cancoming the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-47</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/844,898

Art Unit: 2135

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 08/08/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. In regarding to the argument of claim 12 on page 15-16 and claim 30 on page 17, Applicant argues that Etzel does not anticipate claims 12 and 30 for the feature,
- "... wherein the system receives the key from an external key storage medium via the second input terminal during the operation of the system". As cited in Col 2 lines 53-60, and Col 3 lines 7-15, the security module is the external key storage medium, which external to the encryptor or the system. As recited in claim 12 and 30, the system for encrypting digital data comprises of: an encryptor that has two inputs and one output. As cited, the encryptor 25 in figure 1 does have two inputs and one output. The security module is clearly external to the system.
- 3. Therefore, claims 12-41 rejection basis dated June 3rd, 2005 is maintained.
- 4. As per claims 1, 7, 42, and 47, Applicant has amended the claims. Those claims require further search and consideration.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2135

Page 2